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Abstract. This paper deals with the some oscillation criteria for the three dimensional neutral delay difference system of the form

\[ \Delta (x_n + p_n x_{n+k}) = b_n y_n^\alpha \]
\[ \Delta (y_n) = c_n z_n^\beta \]
\[ \Delta (z_n) = -a_n x_{n-1} \]

where \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Examples illustrating the results are inserted.
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1. Introduction

Consider a three dimensional neutral delay difference system of the form

\[ \Delta (x_n + p_n x_{n+k}) = b_n y_n^\alpha \]
\[ \Delta (y_n) = c_n z_n^\beta \]
\[ \Delta (z_n) = -a_n x_{n-1} \]

subject to the following conditions

(c1): \( \{ b_n \} \) and \( \{ c_n \} \) are nonnegative real sequences such that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n = \infty \),
(c2): \( \{ a_n \} \) is a positive real sequence,
(c3): \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are ratio of odd positive integers,
(c4): \( l \) and \( k \) are positive integers and \( \{ p_n \} \) is a positive real sequence such that \( 0 \leq p_n < 1 \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).

System (1.1) can be rewritten as

\[ \Delta w_n = b_n y_n^\alpha \]
\[ \Delta y_n = c_n z_n^\beta \]
\[ \Delta z_n = -a_n (1 - p_{n-1}) y_w \]

where \( w_n = x_n + p_n x_{n+k} \). The corresponding sequence \( \{ x_n, y_n, z_n \} \) will be called a solution of (1.1). A solution \( \{ x_n, y_n, z_n \} \) is said to be oscillatory if all of its component sequences \( \{ x_n \}, \{ y_n \} \) and \( \{ z_n \} \) are oscillatory. Otherwise it is said to be nonoscillatory. System (1.2) is said to be almost oscillatory if every solution \( \{ (w_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) of system (1.2) is either oscillatory or

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = 0. \]

Let \( \theta = \max (k, l) \). By a solution of equation (1.1), we mean a real sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) defined for all \( n \geq n_0 - \theta \) satisfying equation (1.1) for all \( n \geq n_0 \). A solution \( \{ x_n \} \) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative and nonoscillatory otherwise.
If \( p_n = 0 \), \( \{ b_n \} \) and \( \{ c_n \} \) are positive and \( \alpha = \beta = 1 \) then system (1.1) is equivalent to the third order neutral delay difference equation

\[
\Delta \left( \frac{1}{n} \Delta \left( \frac{1}{b_n} \Delta (x_n + p_n x_{n-k}) \right) \right) + a_n x_{n-i+1}^\gamma = 0.
\]

whose oscillatory behaviour has been studied in, for example, [1-4] and the references cited therein. Also the oscillatory theory is considered for two-dimensional and three-dimensional difference systems (see, for example, [5-10] and the references cited therein). This observation motivated us to consider the three-dimensional neutral delay difference systems and to investigate its oscillatory behaviour. In section 2, we present some basic lemmas which will be used to prove the main theorems, and in Section 3, we obtain the sufficient conditions for the oscillation of system (1.2). Examples are provided in Section 4 to illustrate the main results.

2. SOME BASIC LEMMAS

In this section, we state and prove some basic lemmas, which will be used in establishing our main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( \{ (x_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) be a solution of system (1.1) with \( \{ x_n \} \) nonoscillatory for \( n \geq n_0 \geq 1 \). Similarly \( \{ (w_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) is a solution of system (1.2) with \( \{ w_n \} \) nonoscillatory for \( n \geq n_0 \geq 1 \). Then \( \{ (w_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) is nonoscillatory and \( \{ (w_n), \{ y_n \}, \{ z_n \} \} \) are monotone for \( n \geq N \geq n_0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{ (w_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) be solution of system (1.2) with \( \{ w_n \} \) nonoscillatory for \( n \geq n_0 \). Then without loss of generality assume that \( w_n > 0 \) for \( n \geq N \geq n_0 \) and hence from the third equation of system (1.2), we have \( \Delta z_n \geq 0 \) for \( n \geq N \). Thus \( \{ z_n \} \) is nonincreasing sequence for \( n \geq N \). Since \( \{ b_n \} \) and \( \{ c_n \} \) have positive subsequences in view of condition (c), applying similar argument to the second and the first equation of (1.2), we see that \( \{ y_n \} \) and \( \{ w_n \} \) are monotone for \( n \geq N \). Hence \( \{ (w_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) is nonoscillatory and the proof is complete.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( \{ (x_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) be a solution of system (1.1) with \( \{ x_n \} \) nonoscillatory for \( n \geq n_0 \geq 1 \). Also let \( \{ (w_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) be a nonoscillatory solution of the system (1.2), then there are only the following two cases for \( n \geq 1 \) sufficiently large.

(i) \( \text{sgn } w_n = \text{sgn } y_n = \text{sgn } z_n \)

(ii) \( \text{sgn } w_n = \text{sgn } y_n \neq \text{sgn } z_n \)

holds.

**Proof.** Let \( \{ (w_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) be a nonoscillatory solution of system (1.2). Without loss of generality we may assume that \( w_n > 0 \) for \( n \geq N \). Then from Lemma 2.1 we have \( \{ y_n \} \) and \( \{ z_n \} \) are monotone for \( n \geq N \) and a constant \( \Delta \) for \( n \geq N \). From the second equation of (1.2), we have

\[
\Delta y_n < - \Delta y_n, \quad n \geq N_1.
\]

Summing the last inequality from \( N_1 \) to \( n-1 \) and then taking \( n \to \infty \), we find that \( y_n \to -\infty \) as \( n \to \infty \). Then there is an integer \( N_2 \geq N_1 \) and a constant \( \eta \) such that \( y_n < \eta \) for \( n \geq N_2 \).

\[
\Delta w_n = \eta^\alpha b_n, \quad n \geq N_2.
\]

Where \( w_n = x_n + p_n, x_n \) for \( n \geq N_2 \). Now taking summation from \( N_2 \) to \( n-1 \) and then making \( n \to \infty \), we see that \( w_n \to -\infty \), as \( n \to \infty \). This contradicts the fact that \( w_n > 0 \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). Hence \( z_n < 0 \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). The proof for the case \( w_n \leq 0 \) eventually is similar. This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( \{ (x_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) be a solution of system (1.1) with \( \{ x_n \} \) nonoscillatory for \( n \geq n_0 \geq 1 \). Similarly \( \{ (w_n, y_n, z_n) \} \) be a nonoscillatory solution of system (1.2) and let \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = L_1 \) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = L_2 \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = L_3 \). Then \( L_4 < \infty \) implies \( L_2 = L_3 = 0 \).

**Proof.** By lemma 2.1, there exists an integer \( N \) such that \( \{ w_n \}, \{ y_n \} \) and \( \{ z_n \} \) are monotone for \( n \geq N \). So their limits are either finite or infinite. Assume that \( L_i > 0 \) for \( i=1,2,3 \) is similar. From \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = L_2 \) there exists an integer \( N_1 \geq N \). Such that \( y_n \geq \frac{L_2}{2} \) for \( n \geq N_1 \). Hence from the first equation of (1.2), we have

\[
\Delta w_n = \left( \frac{L_2}{2} \right)^\alpha b_n.
\]

If \( p_n = 0 \), we get

\[
\Delta x_n = \left( \frac{L_2}{2} \right)^\alpha b_n.
\]

If \( p_n \neq 0 \). Now summing the last inequality from \( N_1 \) to \( n-1 \), we obtain

\[
w_n \geq w_{n+1} + \left( \frac{L_2}{2} \right)^\alpha \sum_{k=N_1}^{n-1} b_k.
\]
where \( w_n = x_n + p_n x_{n-k} \), which implies \( w_n \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \). This contradicts the fact that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = L_1 < \infty \). Therefore \( L_2 = 0 \). Similarly considering the second equation of (1.2) and proceeding as above we obtain \( L_3 = 0 \). This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** (See [11].) If \( X \) and \( Y \) are nonnegative, then \( X^2 + (\lambda - 1)Y + \lambda XY^{\lambda-1} \geq 0 \), \( \lambda > 1 \). Where equality holds if and only if \( X=Y \).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( \{x_n, y_n, z_n\} \) be a possible solution in (1.1) satisfying the case(I) of Lemma 2.2 Then

\[
x_n \geq (1 - p_n)w_n \quad n \geq 1.
\]

Where \( w_n = x_n + p_n x_{n-k} \).

**Proof.** Proceeding as in Lemma 2.2, we have \( x_n > 0 \) and \( y_n > 0 \) for \( n \geq N \geq 1 \). From the first equation of the system (1.2) we have

\[
\Delta w_n = b_n y_n^a \quad n \geq N.
\]

Therefore \( w_n > 0 \) and nondecreasing for all \( n \geq N \). From the definition of \( w_n \), we obtain

\[
x_n \geq (1 - p_n)w_n, \quad n \geq N
\]

This completes the proof of the lemma.

### 3. Oscillation Results

In this section, we establish sufficient conditions for the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of system (1.2).

**Theorem 3.1.** Consider the difference system (1.2) subject to the conditions

\[
\sum_{s=1}^{n} c_s (\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j)^b = \infty
\]

\[
\sum_{s=1}^{n} a_n (1 - p_{n-1})^r (\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} b_j (\sum_{k=1}^{j} c_k)^a)^y = \infty
\]

and

\[
a \beta \gamma < 1.
\]

Then every solution \( \{w_n, y_n, z_n\} \) of system (1.2) is almost oscillatory.

**Proof.** If \( \{w_n, y_n, z_n\} \) is an oscillatory solution of system (1.2), then there is nothing to prove. Therefore assume that \( \{w_n, y_n, z_n\} \) is nonoscillatory solution of system (1.2). Then choose an integer \( N \geq 1 \) such that for all \( n \geq N \), the solutions \( \{w_n, y_n, z_n\} \) of system (1.2) satisfies either case(I) or case(II) of Lemma 2.2.

First assume that the solution \( \{w_n, y_n, z_n\} \) satisfies case(I) of Lemma 2.2 for \( n \geq N \). Without loss of generality assume that \( w_n > 0 \) for all \( n \geq N \). Summing the second equation of (1.2) from \( N \) to \( n-1 \), we obtain

\[
y_n - y_N = \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} c_s x_s^a.
\]

and

\[
y_n \geq \sum_{s=N}^{n-1} c_s x_s^a, \quad n \geq N_1 \geq N.
\]

Using the monotonicity of \( \{x_n\} \) in (3.4), we have

\[
y_n \geq x_n^{ab} (\sum_{s=N}^{n-1} c_s)^a, \quad n \geq N_1.
\]

Summing the first equation of (1.2) from \( N_1 \) to \( n-1 \) and then using (3.5), we obtain

\[
w_n \geq \sum_{s=N_1}^{n-1} b_s x_s^{ab} (\sum_{s=N}^{s-1} c_s)^a, \quad n \geq N_1 > N.
\]

From (3.6) and the monotonicity of \( \{x_n\} \), we have

\[
w_{n-l+1} \geq x_n^{ab} \sum_{s=N_1}^{n-1} b_s (\sum_{j=N}^{s-1} c_j)^a, \quad n \geq N_1,
\]

\[
w_{n-l+1} \geq \sum_{s=N_1}^{n-1} b_s (\sum_{j=N}^{s-1} c_j)^a, \quad n \geq N_1.
\]

\[
w_{n-l+1} \geq x_n^{ab} (\sum_{s=N}^{n-1} b_s (\sum_{j=N}^{s-1} c_j)^a)^y, \quad n \geq N_1.
\]

Where

\[
x_{n-l+1} \geq (1 - p_{n-l+1})^{x_n^{ab}} w_{n-l+1}^{x_n^{ab}}
\]
\[
x_{n-i+1}^y \geq (1 - p_{n-i+1})^y z_{a^y} (\sum_{s=k}^{n-1} b_s (\sum_{t=k}^{s-1} c_t)^y)^y, \quad n \geq N_1. \quad (3.7)
\]

Multiplying (3.7) by \( a_n \), using the third equation of (1.2) and then summing from \( N_1 \) to \( n-I \), we obtain

\[
\sum_{s=1}^{n-I} \frac{-\Delta x_s}{z_{a^y}} \geq \sum_{s=1}^{n-I} a_s (1 - p_{n-i+1})^y \left( \sum_{t=s}^{n-I} b_t (\sum_{j=t}^{n-I} c_j)^y \right)^y \quad (3.8)
\]

For \( z_{n+1} < u < z_n \), we have

\[
\int_{z_{n+1}}^{z_n} \frac{du}{u^{a^y}} \geq -\frac{-\Delta x_n}{z_{a^y}}, \quad n \geq N_1. \quad (3.9)
\]

Combining (1.2) and (3.9), we obtain

\[
\int_0^{z_{11}} \frac{du}{u^{a^y}} \geq \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} a_n (1 - p_{n-i+1})^y \left( \sum_{t=1}^{n-I} b_t (\sum_{j=t}^{n-I} c_j)^y \right)^y,
\]

which is a contradiction in view of (3.2) and (3.3). Thus case (I) cannot occur, and hence the solution of (1.1) satisfies case (II) of Lemma 2.2.

Now from the first equation of (1.2), we see that \( w_{\infty} \) is nonincreasing for \( n \geq N \), and therefore \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = L_1 < \infty \). Hence from Lemma 2.3, we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = 0 \)

We shall prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = 0 \). Let \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = L_1 > 0 \). Then there exists an integer \( N_1 \geq N \), such that \( w_{n+1} > d_1 > 0 \) for \( n \geq N_1 \). Now summing the third equation of (1.2) from \( n \) to \( \infty \) and then using \( w_n \geq w_{n-i+1} \) and \( w_n \geq d_1 \) for \( n \geq N_1 \). We obtain

\[
z_n \geq d_1 \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} a_s, \quad n \geq N_1.
\]

Since \( \beta \) is a ratio of odd positive integer, we have from the last inequality

\[
z_n \geq d_1^{\beta y} \left( \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} a_s \right)^\beta, \quad n \geq N_1. \quad (3.10)
\]

Summing the second equation of (1.2) from \( N_1 \) to \( n-I \) and then using (3.10) we obtain

\[
y_n \geq y_{N_1} + d_1^{\beta y} \sum_{s=1}^{n-I} c_s \left( \sum_{j=s}^{n-I} a_t \right)^\beta, \quad n \geq N_1.
\]

In view of (3.1) the last inequality implies for \( n \to \infty \) that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \infty \), which is a contradiction. Therefore \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = 0 \).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** With respect to the difference system (1.2) assume condition (3.2) holds. If

\[
a_{0^y} = 1 \quad (3.11)
\]

then the conclusion of the \( \theta \)-th power we obtain

\[
(1 - p_{n-i+1})^y \left( \sum_{s=k}^{n-1} b_s (\sum_{t=k}^{s-1} c_t)^y \right)^y \leq x_{n-i+1}^y, \quad n \geq N_1. \quad (3.12)
\]

Raising (3.13) to \((1-e)^\theta\) power we obtain

\[
(1 - p_{n-i+1})^{(1-e)\theta} \left( \sum_{s=k}^{n-1} b_s (\sum_{t=k}^{s-1} c_t)^y \right)^y \leq x_{n-i+1}^{(1-e)\theta}, \quad n \geq N_1. \quad (3.14)
\]

Since \( x_0 \) is monotonically nondecreasing, there exists an integer \( N_2 \geq N_1 \) and a constant \( d_2 > 0 \) such that

\[
x_{n-i+1}^y \geq d_1, \quad n \geq N_2. \quad (3.15)
\]

Now (3.15) implies

\[
\left( x_{n-i+1}^y \right)^{1-e} \leq d_2 x_{n-i+1}^y, \quad n \geq N_2. \quad (3.16)
\]

Where \( d_2 = d_1^{1-e} > 0 \), combining (3.14) with (3.16), we obtain

\[
x_{n-i}^y (1 - p_{n-i+1})^{y(1-e)} \left( \sum_{s=k}^{n-i} b_s (\sum_{t=k}^{s-1} c_t)^y \right)^y \leq d_2 x_{n-i+1}^y, \quad n \geq N_2. \quad (3.17)
\]
Multiplying (3.17) by \(a_n x_n^{1-a}\), using the third equation of (1.2), summing from \(N_2\) to \(n-1\) and then using the fact that \(\{z_n\}\) is positive and nondecreasing we have

\[
\sum_{s=N_2}^{n-1} a_s (1 - p_s - l+1) x_s^{(1-a)} \left[ \sum_{t=s}^{n-1} b_t x_t \right] x_s^{a(1-a)} \leq d_s x_s^{(1-a)} \left( \sum_{t=s}^{n-1} \frac{-\Delta x_t}{x_t^{1-a}} \right) \leq d_s x_n^{(1-a)} < \infty, \quad n \geq N_2
\]

which contradicts (3.13). Therefore, case(I) cannot occur and for case(II), we proceed in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.3.** With respect to the difference system (1.2) assume condition (3.1)

\[
a \beta \gamma > 1. \quad (3.18)
\]

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} b_s (1 - p_s) x_n^{a(1-a)} x_n^{a(1-a)} = \infty \quad (3.19)
\]

hold. Then the conclusion of theorem 3.1 holds.

**Proof.** Let \(\{(w_n, x_n, z_n)\}\) be a nonoscillatory solution of system (1.2). Then proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that \(\{(w_n, x_n, z_n)\}\) satisfies one of the two cases of Lemma 2.2 for \(n \geq N\). First consider case (I). In this case, from the third equation of system (1.2) and using the nondecreasing behaviour of \(\{x_n\}\), we have

\[
z_n \geq x_n^{l+1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} a_s, \quad n \geq N. \quad (3.20)
\]

Further, summing the second equation of system (1.2) from \(N\) to \(n-1\) and then using the nonincreasing behaviour of \(\{z_n\}\), we obtain

\[
y_n \geq x_n^{\beta} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} c_s, \quad n \geq N. \quad (3.21)
\]

From (3.20), (3.21) and the first equation of system (1.2), we have

\[
\Delta w_n \geq b_n \left( \sum_{s=0}^{n-l} c_s \right) x_n^{a(1-a)} x_n^{a(1-a)} x_n^{a(1-a)} \geq (1 - p_n) \Delta w_n \geq (1 - p_n) b_n \left( \sum_{s=0}^{n-l} c_s \right) x_n^{a(1-a)} x_n^{a(1-a)} \geq (1 - p_n) b_n \left( \sum_{s=0}^{n-l} c_s \right) x_n^{a(1-a)} x_n^{a(1-a)} \quad (3.22)
\]

For \(x_n < u < x_{n+1}\), we have

\[
\int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} \frac{du}{u^a} \geq \frac{\Delta x_n}{x_n^{a(1-a)}} \quad (3.23)
\]

Combining (3.22) and (3.23), we obtain

\[
\int_{z_n}^{z_{n+1}} \frac{du}{u^a} \geq \sum_{s=0}^{n-l} b_s (1 - p_s) \left( \sum_{t=0}^{s-l} c_t \right) x_n^{a(1-a)} x_n^{a(1-a)} x_n^{a(1-a)},
\]

which is a contradiction in view of (3.20) and (3.21). Therefore, case(I) cannot occur and for case(II), the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.4.** With respect to the difference system (1.2) assume conditions (3.1) and (3.12) hold. If there exists a positive nondecreasing sequence \(\phi_n\) such that

\[
\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n=m}^{n-1} \left( a_n \phi_n - \frac{1}{(n + 1)^a} \frac{(\Delta \phi_n)^a}{(\eta_n - \eta_{n-1})^a} \right) = \infty
\]

and

\[
\eta_n = b_n (1 - p_n) \left( \sum_{s=0}^{n-l} c_s \right) > 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq n_0 \quad (3.24)
\]

Then the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 holds.

**Proof.** Let \(\{(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}\) be a nonoscillatory solution of system (1.1). Then proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that \(\{(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}\) satisfies one of the two cases of Lemma 2.2 for \(n \geq N\). Then

\[
V_n = \frac{\phi_n x_n}{x_n^{1-a}}, \quad n \geq N_1 \geq N + 1.
\]
Then for \( n \geq N_1 \), we have

\[
\Delta V_n = V_{n+1} - V_n
\]

\[
\Delta V_n = -a_n \phi_n + \frac{\Delta \phi_n}{\phi_{n+1}} V_{n+1} - \frac{\phi_n v_n \Delta x_{n+1}}{x_n y_{n-1}}
\]

Using the mean value theorem to the function \( y(t) = t^\gamma \), we have

\[
\Delta x_t^y = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\int \frac{x_{n+1}^y - x_n^y}{y_{n-1}} \text{d}x_{n-1}, & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\
\int \frac{x_{n+1}^y - x_n^y}{y_{n-1}} \text{d}x_{n-1}, & \text{if } r < 1.
\end{array} \right.
\]  

(3.25)

From (3.24), (3.25) and in view of the behaviour of \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{z_n\} \) we obtain

\[
\Delta V_n = -a_n \phi_n + \frac{\Delta \phi_n}{\phi_{n+1}} V_{n+1} - \frac{\phi_n v_n \Delta x_{n+1}}{x_n y_{n-1}} \quad n \geq N_1.
\]  

(3.26)

Now from the first equation of (1.1), (3.21) and (3.11) we have

\[
\Delta x_n \geq (1 - p_n) \Delta w_n
\]

Where

\[
\Delta w_n = b_n \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{n+1}^x
\]

\[
\Delta x_n \geq (1 - p_n) b_n \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} z_{n+1}^x, \quad n \geq N.
\]  

(3.27)

Since \( \{z_n\} \) is nonincreasing. Using (3.27) in (3.26), and simplifying we obtain

\[
\Delta V_n = -a_n \phi_n + \frac{\Delta \phi_n}{\phi_{n+1}} V_{n+1} - \frac{\phi_n v_n \Delta x_{n+1}}{x_n y_{n-1}} \quad n \geq N_1
\]  

(3.28)

Set

\[
X = (y \phi_n \eta_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \frac{V_{n+1}^y}{\phi_{n+1}}, \quad \lambda = \frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma} > 1.
\]

and

\[
Y = \left( \frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta \phi_n}{\phi_{n+1}} \right)^y \left[ \frac{z_{n+1}^x}{\phi_{n+1}^y} \right] \left[ \frac{1+y}{\gamma} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}
\]

in Lemma 2.4 to conclude that

\[
\frac{\phi_n v_n \Delta x_{n+1}}{x_n y_{n-1}} V_{n+1}^y \leq \frac{1}{(1+y)^\gamma} \frac{(\Delta \phi_n)^{y+1}}{\eta_{n-1} \phi_n^x}, \quad n \geq N_1
\]

and therefore

\[
\Delta V_n \leq -a_n \phi_n + \frac{1}{(1+y)^\gamma} \frac{(\Delta \phi_n)^{y+1}}{\eta_{n-1} \phi_n^x}, \quad n \geq N_1
\]

Summing both sides of the last inequality from \( N_1 \) to \( m \geq N_1 \), we obtain

\[
V_{m+1} - V_{N_1} \leq \sum_{n=N_1}^{m} \left[ a_n \phi_n - \frac{1}{(1+y)^\gamma} \frac{(\Delta \phi_n)^{y+1}}{\eta_{n-1} \phi_n^x} \right]
\]

\( \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \), which is a contradiction to the fact that \( V_n > 0 \) for \( m \geq N_1 \). Therefore, case(I) cannot occur and hence the solution of (1.2) satisfies case(II). The proof for case(II) is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and this completes the proof.

4. EXAMPLES

Example 4.1. Consider the difference system

\[
\Delta x_n + \frac{1}{2} x_{n-1} = \left( \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \right) y_n
\]

\[
\Delta y_n = \frac{1}{n} x_n
\]

(4.1)

\[
\Delta x_n = \frac{-(n-1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} x_{n-1}
\]
All conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and hence all solutions are almost oscillatory. In fact \( ((x_n,y_n,z_n)) = \left[ \frac{1}{n+1}, -\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n+1} \right] \) is one such solution of the system (4.1).

**Example 4.2.** Consider the difference system

\[
\Delta \left( x_n + \frac{1}{2} x_{n-1} \right) = \frac{4}{3} (n + 1) y_n
\]

\[
\Delta y_n = \frac{1}{n} z_n
\]

\[
\Delta z_n = \frac{-(2n+5)}{(n+2)(n+3)} z_{n-1}^{1/2}
\]

(4.2)

All conditions of theorem 3.2 are satisfied and hence all solutions are almost oscillatory. In fact \( ((x_n, y_n, z_n)) = \left( (-1)^{n+1}, (-1)^{n+1}, (-1)^{n+2} \right) \) is one such solution of the system (4.2).
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